



Motivation and Achievement at Secondary School

The relationship between NCEA design and student motivation and achievement: A Three-Year Follow-Up

by

Luanna H. Meyer, Kirsty F. Weir, John McClure,
Frank Walkey, and Lynanne McKenzie

Jessie Hetherington Centre for Educational Research
and the School of Psychology
Victoria University of Wellington

July 2009



JESSIE HETHERINGTON CENTRE FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH

Te Puna Rangahau ki Ako Pai

This work was supported in part by Contract Number 393-3211 awarded to Victoria University by the Ministry of Education. The opinions expressed herein, however, are those of the researchers and do not necessarily reflect those of the Ministry of Education, and no official endorsement should be inferred.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	ix
Executive Summary	1
Key Research Findings.....	1
What is the relationship between student motivation and achievement?	2
Do motivation and achievement vary across gender, ethnicity and school decile level?	2
How is knowledge of the endorsements related to motivation and subsequent achievement?.....	3
How are part-time work and other activities related to student motivation and achievement?.....	4
What factors do students think contribute to their best and worst marks?.....	4
What do students say about the influences of their teachers and parents on motivation and achievement?.....	5
What do students and parents know and think about the 2007 NCEA design changes?	5
What do students think about NCEA assessment issues including consistency across subjects and schools?.....	6
Introduction.....	7
Overview.....	7
Review of Recent Research on Motivation and Attributions	7
Attributions for success and failure	8
Intrapersonal influences on motivation and achievement.....	8
Interpersonal influences on motivation and achievement.....	9
External influences on motivation and achievement	10
Context of the Research	11
Relationship of assessment and learning.....	11
Influences of NCEA design aspects on motivation and achievement.....	12
Research Approach.....	14
A Multi-Method and Longitudinal Approach	14
Participants	14
Survey and achievement data participants.....	14
Focus group and interview participants.....	17
Measures	18
The motivation screening measure	18
Student achievement data.....	19
Focus group questions	19
Ethics Review and Approval	20
Follow-Forward of Student Motivation and Achievement	21
Follow-Along of Year 10-11 Students from 2005	21
Relationships between 2005 motivation and future achievement.....	21
Motivation and Achievement Patterns for Senior Students	24
Relationship between 2006 motivation and 2007 achievement.....	24

Longitudinal patterns of motivation orientation—does motivation change across time?	24
What is the relationship between motivation categories and achievement?...26	
How does motivation change over time?.....	27
How are changes in motivation related to achievement?	28
What is the relationship between motivation categories and achievement during 2005?	29
What is the relationship between motivation categories and achievement one two years later in 2006-2007?	31
Achievement trends by term or quarter year	32
Motivation and Achievement Patterns for Junior Students.....	35
Survey responses Year 10 and 11 students 2007.....	35
Student sample collected in 2007	35
Student sample collected in 2008	36
Are there patterns of motivation orientation by ethnicity?.....	36
Are there gender differences in Doing My Best and Doing Just Enough?	37
What is the relationship between student motivation and achievement?	37
Summary of Findings Relating Motivation to Achievement	38
Development of a Motivation Screening Measure	39
2007 Student Sample	39
Research Questions	40
The Relationship of Reported Knowledge of Certificate Endorsements on Motivation and Achievement	40
Did students report knowing about the certificate endorsements?	40
Do certificate endorsements matter to students?	41
How was knowledge of the certificate endorsements related to changes in student motivation over time?	42
How was knowledge of the certificate endorsements related to student achievement?.....	49
How was knowledge of the endorsements related to student credit accumulation across the year?.....	50
How was knowledge of the endorsements related to motivation over time for low, medium, and high achievers?	52
Impact of activities outside school on student motivation and achievement	55
Motivation orientation subscales.....	63
Gender differences on teacher and student affiliation subscales.....	64
Ethnic differences on teacher and peer affiliation subscales	65
Relationships among motivation orientations	65
Do these motivation orientations predict achievement differently for males and females?.....	66
Students' attributions for assessment results.....	67
Attributions for best and worst marks in 2008	68
Attributions by ethnicity	69
Relationship of attributions to motivation orientations	71
Relationship of attributions to achievement outcomes	71
Summary of Findings from the Motivation Screening Measure.....	72

Attitudes towards Motivation, Achievement, and the NCEA	74
Sample.....	74
General description of student focus groups	74
Descriptive data for selected student focus groups	75
Data Collection and Analysis	77
Student Focus Groups Research Questions.....	77
Intrinsic motivation	79
Extrinsic motivation	80
Negative motivation.....	85
Qualifications design	85
Knowledge of endorsements and NCEA.....	90
Parent Interviews and Focus Groups.....	91
Results	91
Parent knowledge and understanding of the NCEA	96
Summary of Findings from the Focus Groups and Interviews	96
Summary of Key Findings	98
Relationship of Motivation Orientations and School Achievement	98
Relationship of Attributions to Achievement.....	98
Influences of Part-Time Work, Sport, Child Care and Other Activities	99
Relationship of Certificate Endorsements with Motivation and Achievement.....	99
Predictive Validity of a Screening Tool for Motivation and Achievement	100
Parent and Student Attitudes about Aspects of the NCEA.....	101
Future Research and Development Issues	104
References	106
Appendices.....	110
Appendix A: Survey of NCEA goals: Year 10 and Year 11 students	111
Appendix B: General Procedures for the Focus Groups—Students and Parents.....	116

List of Tables

Table 1:	2007 Student survey factors.....	19
Table 2:	The relationships between Year 10 motivation in 2005 and Year 12 achievement in 2007	22
Table 3:	The relationships between Year 11 motivation in 2005 and Year 13 achievement in 2007	22
Table 4:	The relationships between Year 10 motivation in 2005 and Year 13 achievement in 2008	23
Table 5:	The relationships between motivation in 2006 and achievement in 2007.....	24
Table 6:	Six motivation categories comprising composite <i>Doing My Best</i> and <i>Doing Just Enough</i>	25
Table 7:	Percentage of students who changed motivation category between 2006 and 2007, for each motivation category.....	27
Table 8:	Numbers of students who changed motivation category between 2006 and 2007, for each motivation category.....	28
Table 9:	The correlations between motivation in 2007 and achievement in 2007.....	37
Table 10:	The relationships between motivation in 2007 and achievement in 2008.....	37
Table 11:	Percentage of students aware that their certificates could be endorsed with Merit or Excellence, by gender and in both 2007 and 2008	40
Table 12:	Percentage of students aware that their certificates could be endorsed with Merit or Excellence across school decile.....	41
Table 13:	Percentage of students who reported that the certificate endorsements matter to them.....	41
Table 14:	Percentages of students participating in activities outside school	56
Table 15:	Results of ANOVA for each activity outside of school	57
Table 16:	Relationships among motivational measures 2008	65
Table 17:	The relationships between motivation in 2008 and achievement in 2008.....	66
Table 18:	Effects of subscales by ethnicity on total credits	66
Table 19:	Effects of subscales by gender on total credits	67
Table 20:	Male and female students' attribution ratings for their best and worst marks in 2008 (Range 1-4).....	68
Table 21:	Attributions for Best and Worst Marks by Ethnicity in 2008 (Range 1-4)	69
Table 22:	Attributions for best marks by motivation and affiliations	71
Table 23:	Attributions for worst marks by motivation and affiliation.....	71

Table 24: Relationship of attributions for best marks with NCEA achievement results.....	71
Table 25: Relationship of attributions for worst marks with NCEA achievement results.....	72
Table 26: Focus group composition by gender.....	75
Table 27: The highest level of NCEA students aimed to achieve prior to completing secondary education	75
Table 28: Focus group composition by age for seven focus groups.....	76
Table 29: Knowledge of NCEA certificate with endorsement	76
Table 30: Summary of plans following completion of secondary education	77
Table 31: Focus group themes.....	78

List of Figures

Figure 1: Overview of Student Data on Motivation and Achievement.....	16
Figure 2: Number of total credits achieved in 2006 by students in the six motivation categories.....	26
Figure 3: Number of total credits achieved in 2007 by students in the six motivation categories.....	27
Figure 4: Total credits attained by students as a function of motivation change.....	28
Figure 5: Credits attained by students in different motivation categories.....	30
Figure 6: Merit credits attained by students in different motivation categories....	30
Figure 7: Excellence credits attained by students in different motivation categories.....	30
Figure 8: Merit credits attained by quarter by motivation category	32
Figure 9: Excellence credits attained by quarter by motivation category	32
Figure 10: Merit credits attained by quarter one year later by students in different motivation categories.....	33
Figure 11: Excellence credits attained by quarter one year later by students in different motivation categories.....	34
Figure 12: External Merit credits attained across quarters two years later by students in different motivation categories	34
Figure 13: External Excellence credits attained across quarters two years later by students in different motivation categories.....	35
Figure 14: Ethnic patterns in motivation orientations.....	36
Figure 15: 2007 Student responses on whether endorsements mattered, by school decile.....	42
Figure 16: 2008 Student responses on whether endorsements mattered, by school decile.....	42
Figure 17: Motivation mean scores on <i>Doing My Best</i> over time by how much endorsements matter to students 2006-2007 and 2007-2008	43
Figure 18: Motivation mean scores on <i>Doing Just Enough</i> over time by how much endorsements matter to students, 2006-2007 and 2007-2008..	44
Figure 19: <i>Doing My Best</i> scores for three cohorts across three years	45
Figure 20: <i>Doing Just Enough</i> scores for three cohorts across three years	45
Figure 21: <i>Doing My Best</i> motivation from 2006 to 2007 for those who reported they did or did not know about the certificate endorsements	46
Figure 22: <i>Doing My Best</i> motivation from 2007 to 2008 for those who reported they did or did not know about the certificate endorsements	46
Figure 23: <i>Doing Just enough</i> motivation from 2006 to 2007 for those who reported they did or did not know about the certificate endorsements.	47

Figure 24: <i>Doing Just Enough</i> motivation from 2007 to 2008 for those who reported they did or did not know about the certificate endorsements	47
Figure 25: Motivation shifts by awareness of the endorsements	48
Figure 26: Motivation shifts by how much the endorsements mattered	48
Figure 27: Assessment credits by how much endorsements mattered	50
Figure 28: Credits with Merit by how much endorsements mattered.....	51
Figure 29: Credits with Excellence by how much endorsements mattered	52
Figure 30: <i>Doing My Best</i> across 2006-2007 by awareness of the endorsements for low-achieving group.....	52
Figure 31: <i>Doing Just Enough</i> across 2006-2007 by awareness of the endorsements for low-achieving group.....	53
Figure 32: <i>Doing My Best</i> across 2006-2007 by awareness of the endorsements for middle-achieving group.....	53
Figure 33: <i>Doing Just Enough</i> across 2006-2007 by awareness of the endorsements for middle-achieving group.....	54
Figure 34: <i>Doing My Best</i> across 2006-2007 by awareness of the endorsements for high-achieving group	54
Figure 35: <i>Doing Just Enough</i> across years by awareness of the endorsements for high-achieving group	55
Figure 36: Time spent in part-time work 2007-2008 and total credits attained .	56
Figure 37: Time spent in sport 2007-2008 and total credits attained.....	58
Figure 38: Time spent looking after children 2007-2008 and total credits attained.....	59
Figure 39: Time spent looking after children 2007-2008 and total credits attained by school decile	60
Figure 40: Time spent in other activities 2007-2008 and total credits attained .	61
Figure 41: Time spent in other activities 2007-2008 and motivation by school decile	61
Figure 42: Time spent in other activities 2007-2008 and motivation by gender ...	62
Figure 43: Time spent in tutorials 2007-2008 and total credits attained	62
Figure 44: Time spent in tutorials 2007-2008 and motivation by gender	63
Figure 45: Ratings on the Peer and Teacher Affiliation subscales by ethnicity	65
Figure 46: Students' attributions for their own best and worst marks in 2008 ..	67
Figures 47-48: Attributions for Best and Worst Marks by Ethnicity, respectively..	70

Acknowledgements

Many people contributed to this research and to the preparation of the report. This work was made possible by the continued cooperation and participation of the schools involved in the project. Principals, principal designees, key office personnel and many teachers at each of the secondary schools in our national sample contributed to these data. Schools are always stretched to meet multiple demands according to tight timelines, and we are grateful that they fitted the administration of the motivation screening tool into an already busy fourth term. Further, they assisted in providing us with newly assigned National Student Numbers (NSNs) for a large number of students so that data analyses were not delayed until these were recorded in the national database. Five of the schools in our original sample and five additional, new schools also helped in organising focus groups of parents and students so that we could explore issues arising from the various data sources in more depth. We cannot begin to express our appreciation for this extraordinary support from the schools.

Special thanks are extended to James Graham (Ngai Te Whatuiāpiti) and Tolo Pereira who joined our team as expert researchers working alongside us to conduct several of the focus groups involving Māori and Pacific students and parents. Thanks also to Susan Davidson and Virginia Neal for assisting with focus groups. We acknowledge with gratitude the time given and commitment shown by the parents who met with us; we are grateful for the enthusiasm and clarity shown by over 200 young people who also contributed a free period during school hours to meet with us and share their student voices.

We were fortunate to have the expert assistance of several staff and students who coded survey responses and qualitative data. Thank you especially to Joe McClure, Mimi Hodis, Fraser Weir, Frances Bryson and Leslie Thomas for their support.

As in previous years, we benefited greatly from the expert assistance of Paul Andrews and his colleagues at the New Zealand Qualifications Authority (NZQA) who provided NSNs for students and the essential student achievement data from student records of learning for those who consented to participate in our research sample. This included the new groups of Year 10 and Year 11 students as well as those now in Years 11, 12, and 13 who had consented in earlier years to allow us to continue to follow their achievement longitudinally through the levels of NCEA and beyond. NZQA also assisted in providing the last known home addresses for the large number of secondary students who had left their schools prior to completing Year 13. We are also grateful to James Chal of NZQA and Dr Peter Coolbear of Ako Aotearoa: the National Centre for Tertiary Teaching Excellence who enabled one of our team members (Kirsty Weir) to continue to be part of this research while holding a position in these two agencies in 2007-2009.

Key Ministry of Education personnel who assisted and supported this phase of the project included Glenda Koefoed and Ming-Chun Wu.

The Jessie Hetherington Centre (JHC) for Educational Research provided oversight and support for the project at Victoria University in the College of Education. Both Pam Ritchie and Virginia Neal, as JHC Executive Assistants, managed a huge flow of courier packs to and from schools as consent forms and surveys were exchanged over the weeks. Thank you also to Liz Wood, Tony Arnold, Tania Smith, Sian Wright, and Rochelle Finlay who provided administrative grants management, reporting, and budgeting support at various stages of the project.

Special thanks are extended to Susan Kaiser, JHC Research Administrator, whose expert technical editing and formatting of surveys and reports were so essential throughout this work. Others who have supported the project include the chair and members of the VUW Human Ethics Committee who reviewed ethics proposals for stages of data collection involving human participants; this is a most important aspect of ensuring the integrity of a project and the safety as well as confidentiality of participants. Our College Pro Vice-Chancellor Professor Dugald Scott has continuously offered critical technical support and academic comment, providing a perspective grounded in both the university environment and extensive knowledge of the complexities of the NCEA and our secondary system.

The work would not be possible, of course, without the thousands of young people who agreed to complete surveys, participate in focus groups, and provide us with access to their records of learning so that we could investigate the relationships reported here. As we have emphasised in previous years, they do not have to spend their time and effort being part of a research project that asked them to fill out yet another survey and talk to complete strangers about their aspirations, beliefs, and achievements. We are grateful to them for their candid responses and for the trust they have placed in us in opening their high school academic careers to the scrutiny of a group of university researchers in education and psychology. Ultimately, we can thank them best by sharing new knowledge about how all of us can best support the next generation to meet their goals and become the thinking and well educated citizens who are needed to ensure a better future.

Luanna H. Meyer
Kirsty F. Weir
John McClure
Frank Walkey
Lynanne McKenzie

20 May 2009